



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/654,089	09/01/2000	Ken Watanabe	500.39008X00	5928	
20457 75	7590 03/12/2004		EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			PUENTE, EMERSON C		
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET				7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 -	
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			2113	12	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004	13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ø



## UNITED STATES DE TIMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/654089	9/1/00			
				EXAMINER
			Puente, Emerson	
			ART UNIT	PAPER

13

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

A advisory action (PTOL-303 form) with a reply filed date correction to "13 February 2004"

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/654,089	WATANABE ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Emerson C Puente	2113					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice  1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the appropriate extension fee under					
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to		<i>,</i>					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: Proposed amendments raise new issue.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>2-7 and 10-12</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 13-18.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:	_						
	RHOBE	WEAUSULEL S					
		RY PATENT EXAMINICS'  LOGY CENTER					